arXiv:1510.08904v1 [math.RA] 29 Oct 2015

ENGEL CONDITION ON ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS OF LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

SALVATORE SICILIANO AND HAMID USEFI

Abstract. Let L be a Lie superalgebra over a fled of characteristic $p \neq 2$ with enveloping algebra $U(L)$ or let L be a restricted Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic $p > 2$ with restricted enveloping algebra $u(L)$. In this note, we establish when $u(L)$ or $U(L)$ is bounded Lie Engel.

1. Introduction

Recall that an associative ring R is said to satisfy the Engel condition if R satisfies the identity

$$[x, \underbrace{y, \ldots, y}_{n}] = 0,$$

for some n. It follows from Zel'manov's celebrated result about the restricted Burnside problem [19] that every finitely generated Lie ring satisfying the Engel condition is nilpotent. Kemer in [5] proved that if R is an associative algebra over a field of characteristic zero that satifies the Engel condition then R is Lie nilpotent. This result was later proved by Zel'manov in [18] for all Lie algebras. However these results fail in positive characteristic, see [17, 11]. Nevertheless, Shalev in [13] proved that every finitely generated associative algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ satisfying the Engel condition is Lie nilpotent. This result was further strengthened by Riley and Wilson in [10] by proving that if R is a d-generated associative C-algebra, where C is a commutative ring, satisfying the Engel condition of degree n, then R is upper Lie nilpotent of class bounded by a function that depends only on d and n. Hence, in the positive characteristic case one would need to assume that R is also finitely generated.

Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p \neq 2$ with bracket $(\,,\,)$. The adjoint map of $x \in L$ is denoted by ad x. We denote the enveloping algebra of L by $U(L)$. In case $p = 3$ we

Date: November 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16R10, 16R40, 17B35, 17B60.

The research of the second author was supported by NSERC of Canada.

add the condition $((y,y),y)=0$, for every $y \in L_1$. This identity is necessary to embed L in $U(L)$.

The Lie bracket of $U(L)$ is denoted by $[a,b]=ab-ba$, for every $a,b \in U(L)$. We are interested to know when $U(L)$ satisfies the Engel condition. Note that the Engel condition is a non-matrix identity, that is a polynomial identity not satisfied by the algebra $M_2(\mathbb{F})$ of 2×2 matrices over $\mathbb{F}$. The conditions for which $U(L)$ satisfies a non-matrix identity are given in [2]. It follows from Zel'manov's Theorem [18] that over a field of characteristic zero $U(L)$ satisfies the Engel condition if and only if $U(L)$ is Lie nilpotent. The characterization of L when $U(L)$ is Lie nilpotent over any field of characteristic not 2 is given in [2]. Hence, we have

Corollary 1.1. *Let $L=L_0\oplus L_1$ be a Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic zero. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$U(L)$ is Lie nilpotent;*
2. *$U(L)$ is bounded Lie Engel;*
3. *L_0 is abelian, L is nilpotent, (L,L) is finite-dimensional, and either $(L_1,L_1)=0$ or $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ and $(L_0,L_1)=0$.*

However this result is no longer true in positive characteristic as our following theorem shows (see also Example 2.5).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $L=L_0\oplus L_1$ be a Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic $p\geq 3$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$U(L)$ is bounded Lie Engel;*
2. *$U(L)$ is PI, L_0 is abelian, $\operatorname{ad} x$ is nilpotent for every $x\in L_0$, and either $(L_1,L_1)=0$ or $\dim L_1\leq 1$ and $(L_0,L_1)=0$;*
3. *$U(L)$ is PI, L_0 is abelian, L is nilpotent, and either $(L_1,L_1)=0$ or $\dim L_1\leq 1$ and $(L_0,L_1)=0$.*

Note that the above theorem does not follow from Zel'manov or Riley and Wilson's results because $U(L)$ is not necessarily finitely generated.

Now let $L=L_0\oplus L_1$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic $p>2$ with enveloping algebra $u(L)$. In our next result we characterize L for which $u(L)$ satisfies the Engel condition. Our results complement the results of [15, 16] where it is determined when $u(L)$ satisfies a non-matrix identity or when $u(L)$ is Lie solvable, Lie nilpotent, or Lie super-nilpotent. Similar results for group rings and enveloping algebras of restricted Lie algebras were carried out in [3, 6] and [8], respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $L=L_0\oplus L_1$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic $p>2$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $u(L)$ *is bounded Lie Engel;*
(2) $u(L)$ *is PI,* (L_0, L_0) *is p-nilpotent, there exists an integer* n *such that* $(ad\ x)^n = 0$ *for every* $x \in L_0$*, and either* (L_1, L_1) *is p-nilpotent or* $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ *and* $(L_1, L_0) = 0$*;*
(3) $u(L)$ *is PI,* L *is nilpotent,* (L_0, L_0) *is p-nilpotent, and either* (L_1, L_1) *is p-nilpotent or* $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ *and* $(L_1, L_0) = 0$.

We refer the reader to [1] for basic background about Lie superalgebras and their enveloping algebras and to [2, 15, 16] for notation.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout the paper, all Lie superalgebras are defined over a field of characteristic $p \geq 3$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a subset of an associative algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{D}$ is called *weakly closed* if for every pair of elements $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{D} \times \mathfrak{D}$, there exists an element $\gamma(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $ab + \gamma(a, b)ba \in \mathfrak{D}$. This notion is applicable to our setting with a Lie superalgebra L. We take $\mathfrak{U}$ to be the associative subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$ generated by all ad x, where $x \in L$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ to be the subset of $\mathfrak{U}$ consisting of all ad x, where x is a homogeneous element in L. Then $\mathfrak{D}$ is weakly closed. Now we recall the Jacobson's Theorem on weakly closed sets.

Theorem 2.1 (Jacobson [4])**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{D}$ *be a weakly closed subset of an associative algebra* $\mathfrak{U}$ *of linear transformations of a finite-dimensional vector space* V *over* $\mathbb{F}$*. Assume that every* $T \in \mathfrak{D}$ *is nilpotent. Then the non-unital associative subalgebra generated by* $\mathfrak{D}$ *is associative nilpotent.*

Next we recall Corollary 2.5 from [7]. Note that the derived subalgebra of L will be also denoted by L'.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ *be a Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic* $p > 2$*. Then* $U(L)$ *is PI if and only if there exist homogeneous ideals* $B \subseteq A \subseteq L$ *such that*

(1) $\dim L/A < \infty, \dim B < \infty$*;*
(2) $A' \subseteq B$*;*
(3) $B = B_1$*;*
(4) *All inner derivations ad* $z, z \in L_0$*, defined over* L *are algebraic and their degrees are bounded by some constant.*

Lemma 2.3 ([2])**.** *If* $U(L)$ *satisfies a non-matrix polynomial identity then* $(L_0, L_0) = (L_0, L_1, L_1) = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. *If* $U(L)$ *is bounded Lie Engel then either* $(L_1, L_1) = 0$ *or* $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ *and* $(L_0, L_1) = 0$.

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in Lemma 5.1 of [2]. □

Note that unlike the characteristic zero case, $U(L)$ satisfying the Engel condition does not necessarily imply that $U(L)$ is Lie nilpotent. In fact, in characteristic $p \geq 3$, $U(L)$ can be p-Engel and yet (L, L) be infinite-dimensional.

Example 2.5. Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$, where $(L_0, L_0) = (L_1, L_1) = 0$, L_0 has a basis $x_1, x_2, \cdots$ and L_1 has a basis $y, z_1, z_2, \cdots$ with $z_i = (x_i, y)$. Let m be a positive integer and set $v = x_2 \cdots x_m$. Note that $[x_i, y, y, y] = 0$, for all x_i. Thus, by the Leibniz formula we have,

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1 \cdots x_m, {}_p\, y] &= x_1[v, {}_p\, y] + p[x_1, y][v, {}_{p-1}\, y] + \binom{p}{2}[x_1, y, y][v, {}_{p-2}\, y] \\ &= x_1[v, {}_p\, y] = \cdots = x_1 \cdots x_{m-1}[x_m, {}_p\, y] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $U(L)$ is p-Engel.

We just recall the following identity that follows from super-Jacobi identity:

$$(\text{ad } z)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{ad } (z, z), \quad \text{for every } z \in L_1. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose $U(L)$ is PI, $(L_1, L_1) = (L_0, L_0) = 0$, and ad x is a nilpotent transformation on L, for every $x \in L_0$. Then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. Note that, by Theorem 2.2, L contains a homogeneous ideal A of finite codimension such that A' is finite-dimensional. First we show that A is nilpotent. Note that the restriction of ad x to A' is a nilpotent transformation acting on a finite-dimensional vector space, for every $x \in A_0$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the (non-unital) associative algebra generated by all ad x with $x \in A_0$ acting on A' is associative nilpotent. This means that

$$(A, A, \underbrace{A_0, \ldots, A_0}_{t}) = 0,$$

for some t. Since $(L_1, L_1) = 0$, we deduce that A is nilpotent. To prove L is nilpotent, we argue by induction on $\dim L/A$. By Lemma 5.4 in [14], it suffices to show that L/A' is nilpotent. So we can replace L with L/A' and assume that A is an abelian ideal of L of finite codimension. Let z be a homogeneous element in $L \setminus A$ and denote by N the ideal of L generated by A and z. If $z \in L_1$ then we deduce from Equation (1) and the hypothesis $(L_1, L_1) = 0$ that $(A, z, z) = 0$. It is now easy to see that N is nilpotent. On the other hand, if $z \in L_0$ then ad z is a nilpotent transformation on L. Since A is abelian, we can observe that

N is nilpotent. Now we note that $\dim L/N < \dim L/A$ and it follows by induction that L is nilpotent. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The implication (1) ⇒ (2) follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 while (2) ⇒ (3) follows from Lemma 2.6. It remains to prove (3) ⇒ (1). Note that, by Theorem 1.1 of [2], $U(L)$ satisfies a non-matrix PI and thus $R = [U(L), U(L)]U(L)$ is nil of bounded index, say p^m. Since L is nilpotent, there exists an integer n such that $L_0^{p^n}$ is contained in the centre $Z(L)$ of L. Let X be an ordered basis for L_0 and Y an ordered basis for L_1. Let w be an element in the augmentation ideal $\omega(L)$ of $U(L)$. Then by the PBW Theorem, w is a linear combination of PBW monomials of the form $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i} y_1 \cdots y_j$.

First suppose that $(L_1, L_1) = 0$. Then, modulo R, w^{p^n} is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$x_1^{a_1 p^n} \dots x_i^{a_i p^n}.$$

Since $L_0^{p^n} \subseteq Z(L)$, we deduce that $w^{p^n} = u + v$, where u is a central element in $U(L)$ and $v \in R$. Hence, $w^{p^{m+n}} = u^{p^m}$ is a central element in $U(L)$. Clearly, m and n are independent of w and so $U(L)$ is p^{m+n}-Engel in this case.

The case when $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ and $(L_0, L_1) = 0$ can be handled similarly. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We recall that Engel's Theorem holds for Lie superalgebras (see [12], for example).

Theorem 3.1 (Engel's Theorem)**.** *Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra such that $\operatorname{ad} x$ is nilpotent, for every homogeneous element $x \in L$. Then L is nilpotent.*

Lemma 3.2. *If $u(L)$ is bounded Lie Engel then either (L_1, L_1) is p-nilpotent or $\dim L_1 \leq 1$ and $(L_0, L_1) = 0$.*

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in Lemma 4.1 of [16]. □

Theorem 3.3 ([7])**.** *Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. Then $u(L)$ satisfies a PI if and only if there exist homogeneous restricted ideals $B \subseteq A \subseteq L$ such that*

(1) *L/A and B are both finite-dimensional.*
(2) *$A' \subseteq B$, $B' = 0$.*
(3) *The restricted Lie subalgebra B_0 is p-nilpotent.*

Theorem 3.4 ([15]). *Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra over a perfect field and denote by M the subspace spanned by all $y \in L_1$ such that (y, y) is p-nilpotent. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$u(L)$ satisfies a non-matrix PI.*
(2) *$u(L)$ satisfies a PI, (L_0, L_0) is p-nilpotent, $\dim L_1/M \leq 1$, (M, L_1) is p-nilpotent, and $(L_1, L_0) \subseteq M$.*
(3) *The commutator ideal of $u(L)$ is nil of bounded index.*

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $u(L)$ is PI, (L_1, L_1) is p-nilpotent or $(L_0, L_1) = 0$, and ad x is a nilpotent transformation on L, for every $x \in L_0$. Then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. Note that, by Theorem 3.3, L contains a homogeneous ideal A of finite codimension such that A' is finite-dimensional and $(A', A') = 0$. First we show that A is nilpotent. Let $a \in A'$ and $y \in A_1$. By Equation (1), we have

$$(a, y, y) = 0.$$

Consider now the set $\mathfrak{D}$ of all linear transformations ad z acting on A', where z is a homogeneous element in A. Since $\mathfrak{D}$ is weakly closed and consisting of nilpotent linear transformations, we deduce, by Theorem 2.1, that the non-unital associative algebra generated by $\mathfrak{D}$ is associative nilpotent. This means that

$$(A', \underbrace{A, \ldots, A}_{t}) = 0,$$

for some t. Hence, A is nilpotent. To prove that L is nilpotent, we use induction on $\dim L/A$. By Lemma 5.4 in [14], it suffices to show that $L/\langle A' \rangle_p$ is nilpotent. So we replace L with $L/\langle A' \rangle_p$ and assume that A is an abelian ideal of L of finite codimension. We claim that $H = L/A$ is nilpotent. Note that either $(H_0, H_1) = 0$ or (H_1, H_1) is p-nilpotent. If $(H_0, H_1) = 0$ then clearly every ad x with $x \in H_1$ is nilpotent. On the other hand, if (H_1, H_1) is p-nilpotent then, by Equation (1), every ad x with $x \in H_1$ is nilpotent. Hence, Theorem 3.1 applies and we deduce that H is nilpotent. This means that $\gamma_{c+1}(L) \subseteq A$, where c is the nilpotency class of H. Let z be a homogeneous element in $\gamma_c(L)$ and denote by N the ideal of L generated by A and z. If $z \in L_1$ then, by Equation (1), $(A, z, z) = 0$. On the other hand, ad z is nilpotent if $z \in L_0$. We deduce that N is nilpotent. Now, $\dim L/N < \dim L/A$ and it follows by induction that L is nilpotent. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The implication (1) ⇒ (2) follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 while (2) ⇒ (3) follows from Lemma 3.5. It remains to prove (3) ⇒ (1). Note that, by Theorem 3.4, $u(L)$ satisfies

a non-matrix PI and thus $R = [u(L), u(L)]u(L)$ is nil of bounded index. Since L is nilpotent, there exists an integer s such that $L_0^{p^s} \subseteq Z(L)$. Let X be an ordered basis for L_0 and Y an ordered basis for L_1. Let $w \in \omega(L)$. Then, by the PBW Theorem, w is a linear combination of PBW monomials of the form $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i} y_1 \cdots y_j$. Let $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(y_j, y_j)$. Note that $y_j^p = z_j^{\frac{p-1}{2}} y_j$.

Now suppose that (L_1, L_1) is p-nilpotent. Then there exists an integer $n \geq s$ such that $y_j^{p^n} = 0$, for all $y_j \in Y$. Now note that, module R, w^{p^n} is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$x_1^{a_1 p^n} \ldots x_i^{a_i p^n}.$$

Since, $L_0^{p^n} \subseteq Z(L)$, we deduce that $w^{p^n} \in Z(u(L)) + R$. Furthermore, R is nil of bounded index, say p^m. Hence, $w^{p^{m+n}} \in Z(u(L))$. Clearly, m and n are independent of w and so $u(L)$ is p^{m+n}-Engel in this case.

On the other hand, if (L_1, L_1) is not p-nilpotent then, by the hypothesis, we must have $\dim L_1 = 1$ and $(L_1, L_0) = 0$. Suppose that L_1 is spanned by $y \in L_1$ and let u be a PBW monomial of the form $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i} y$. We have $u^{p^s} = x_1^{a_1 p^s} \ldots x_i^{a_i p^s} y^{p^s} \in Z(u(L)) + R$. Similarly, if v is a PBW monomial of the form $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i}$ then $v^{p^s} \in Z(u(L)) + R$. We deduce that $w^{p^s} \in Z(u(L)) + R$. Clearly, m and s are independent of w and so $u(L)$ is p^{m+s}-Engel, completing the proof. □

References

[1] Yu.A. Bahturin, A.A. Mikhalev, V.M. Petrogradsky, M.V. Zaicev, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Superalgebras*, (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).

[2] J. Bergen, D.M. Riley, H. Usefi, Lie superalgebras whose enveloping algebras satisfy a non-matrix polynomial identity, *Israel J. Math.* **196** (2013), no. 1, 161–173.

[3] A. Bovdi, A. Grishkov, Lie properties of crossed products, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), no. 9, 3447–3460.

[4] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Dover Publ., New York, 1979.

[5] A.R. Kemer, Nonmatrix varieties, *Algebra and Logic* **19** (1981) 157–178.

[6] I.B.S. Passi, D.S. Passman, S.K. Sehgal, Lie solvable group rings, *Canad. J. Math.* **25** (1973), 748–757.

[7] V.M. Petrogradski, Identities in the enveloping algebras for modular Lie superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **145** (1992) no. 1, 1–21.

[8] D.M. Riley, A. Shalev, The Lie structure of enveloping algebras, *J. Algebra* **162(1)**, 46–61.

[9] D.M. Riley, M. Wilson, Group algebras and enveloping algebras with nonmatrix and semigroup identities, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), no. 7, 3545-3556.

[10] D.M. Riley, M.C. Wilson, Associative rings satisfying the Engel condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 973–976.

[11] E. Rips, A. Shalev, The Baer condition for group algebras, *J. Algebra* **140** (1991), 83–100.
[12] M. Scheunert, *The theory of Lie superalgebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **716** (1979).
[13] A. Shalev, On associative algebras satisfying the Engel condition, *Israel J. Math.* **67** (1989), 287–290.
[14] S. Siciliano, H. Usefi, Subideals of Lie superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **332** (2011), 469–479.
[15] H. Usefi, Non-matrix polynomial identity enveloping algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **217** (2013), no. 11, 2050–2055.
[16] H. Usefi, Lie identities on enveloping algebras of restricted Lie superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **393** (2013), 120–131.
[17] Yu. P. Razmyslov, On Lie algebras satisfying the Engel condition, *Algebra and Logic* **10** (1971), 21–29.
[18] E.I. Zel'manov, Engelian Lie algebras, *Siberian Math. J.* **29** (1988), 777–781.
[19] E.I. Zel'manov, On the restricted Burnside problem, *Siberian Math. J.* **30** (1990), 885–891.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica "Ennio De Giorgi", Università del Salento, Via Provinciale Lecce–Arnesano, 73100–Lecce, Italy
E-mail address: salvatore.siciliano@unisalento.it

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada, A1C 5S7
E-mail address: usefi@mun.ca